

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/500,292	ANTIER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robin A. Hylton	3781	

All Participants:

(1) Robin A. Hylton.

(2) Alyssa Ann Finamore.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 11-21-06

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
35 USC 102

Claims discussed:
1-10, 12-16

Prior art documents discussed:
Nightengale and Verter

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Upon reconsideration of the art, it was suggested to cancel claims 4,13, and 14 since only the hole in the skirt defining the means plus function of the independent claims is allowable over the art of record. The aforementioned patents appear to properly reject the claims. Applicant was agreeable to the suggestion. Prosecution has been re-opened.